Complications of cervical spine manipulation therapy: 5-year retrospective study in a single-group practice
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Object. The authors report a series of 22 patients in whom major complications developed after cervical spinal manipulation therapy (CSMT). A second objective was to estimate the regional incidence of these complications and to compare it with the very low incidences reported in the literature.

Methods. During a 5-year period, practitioners at a single group neurosurgical practice in Tulsa, Oklahoma, treated 22 patients, who were markedly worse during, or immediately after, CSMT. The details of these cases are reported. The 1995 US Government National Census was used to define the regional referral population for Tulsa. The published data regarding the incidence of serious CSMT-related complications and the rate of CSMTs undertaken nationally were used to estimate the expected number of CSMT-related complications in the authors' region. The number (22 cases) reported in this series was used to estimate the actual regional incidence.

Complications in the series included radiculopathy (21 cases), myelopathy (11 cases), Brown–Séquard syndrome (two cases), and vertebral artery (VA) occlusion (one case). Twenty-one patients underwent surgery. Poor outcomes were observed in three, outcome was unchanged in one, and 17 improved. The number of patients in this series exceeded the expected number for the region.

Conclusions. Cervical spinal manipulation therapy may worsen preexisting cervical disc herniation or cause disc herniation resulting in radiculopathy, myelopathy, or VA compression. In cases of cervical spondylosis, CSMT may also worsen preexisting myelopathy or radiculopathy. Manipulation of the cervical spine may also be associated with higher complication rates than previously reported.
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Cervical spinal manipulation therapy is a common modality for the treatment of neck pain and headache with between 18 and 38 million such treatments performed yearly by US chiropractors. There are several types of spinal manipulation. One type is the high-velocity, low-amplitude thrust technique the goal of which is to provide a “sharp thrust with velocity” to induce a gap in the joint. Numerous complications have been reported from cervical spinal manipulation (Table 1) (2,5,7,9,11,12,14,16-18,22,24,26-29,31,32,36-38,41,42,44,47,49) Some practitioners have advocated manipulation of the cervical spine in cases of MR imaging–documented cervical disc herniation. The use of general anesthesia as an adjunct to cervical spine manipulation in cases of cervical disc herniation has also been supported by some authors. In other studies investigators have found that these complications occur more frequently. The purpose of this study was to report the types of complications documented in a single group practice of six neurosurgeons.

Clinical Material and Methods

We retrospectively reviewed data obtained in patients who underwent CSMT between January 1, 1993, and January 1, 1998, and were treated by the physicians of a single-group practice. In all cases the following International Classification of Diseases–9 diagnosis codes were established: 722, 722.2, 722.4, 722.71, 723.4, 723.4. All patients treated by manual practitioners or who underwent spinal manipulation were further evaluated. The following treatment-related results were determined: adverse effect, reaction, or incident. An adverse effect was defined as any

Abbreviations used in this paper: ACDF = anterior cervical discectomy and fusion; CSMT = cervical spine manipulation therapy; CT = computerized tomography; MR = magnetic resonance; VA = vertebral artery.
Postoperatively the patient made a complete recovery. Initially numerous large free fragments of disc were found. The patient underwent C5–6 ACDF. Intraoperative MR imaging, which revealed a large C5–6 disc herniation, a C5–6 disc bulge, and C4–5 spondylosis and disc herniation. He underwent C5–5 and C6 corpectomies and iliocrest strut graft– and plate fixation–assisted fusion. Intraoperative findings were spondylosis and a large free disc fragment. Postoperatively, the patient did well. Normal strength and no neck pain were observed at the 3-year follow-up examination.

Case 1

This 45-year-old man suffered neck and left shoulder pain. He saw a chiropractor who performed neck manipulation. After manipulation, the patient experienced rapid onset of severe arm pain, with numbness in the thumb and index finger of the left hand, and left arm weakness. Radiography revealed a C6–7 disc herniation, a C5–6 disc bulge, and C4–5 spondylosis and disc herniation. He underwent C5–5 and C6 corpectomies and iliocrest strut graft– and plate fixation–assisted fusion. Intraoperative findings were spondylosis and a large free disc fragment. Postoperatively, the patient did well. Normal strength and no neck pain were observed at the 3-year follow-up examination.

Case 2

This 45-year-old man suffered neck and left shoulder pain. He saw a chiropractor who performed neck manipulation. After manipulation, the patient experienced rapid onset of severe arm pain, with numbness in the thumb and index finger of the left hand, and left arm weakness. Radiography revealed a C6–7 disc herniation, a C5–6 disc bulge, and C4–5 spondylosis and disc herniation. He underwent C5–5 and C6 corpectomies and iliocrest strut graft– and plate fixation–assisted fusion. Intraoperative findings were spondylosis and a large free disc fragment. Postoperatively, the patient did well. Normal strength and no neck pain were observed at the 3-year follow-up examination.

Case 3

This 41-year-old woman reported mild neck pain that worsened over time, and she had begun to experience upper-extremity numbness and tingling. She saw a chiropractor who performed neck manipulations. After manipulation, she developed significant pain and diminished strength in her arms. Physical examination demonstrated myelopathy. An MR image revealed a very large central C4–5 disc herniation compressing the spinal cord as well as C5–6 spondylosis. She underwent C4–5 and C5–6 ACDF. Postoperatively, her arm and myelopathic symptoms resolved, but she continued to complain of chronic neck pain.

Case 4

This 35-year-old woman initially saw an osteopathic physician for low-back pain. During the course of her treatment, she underwent a mid- and low-region lumbar spinal manipulation. During manipulation, she noted the onset of neck and right shoulder pain. She then saw a chiropractor who performed a series of 10 CSMTs. During these manipulations, right upper- and lower-extremity weaknesses developed. She worked as a dental hygienist and lost fine motor control in her right hand. Physical examination revealed a Brown–Séquard syndrome. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated a very large C5–6 disc that had herniated toward the right side, deflecting the spinal cord to the left side and rotating it (Fig. 1). A C5–6 anterior cervical discectomy was performed and by 3 months her partial Brown–Séquard syndrome had resolved. She had no neck pain. Muscle strength was 5/5 in the deltoids, biceps, triceps, wrist flexors, wrist extensors, and intrinsic. She was able to return to work and perform her job well.

Case 5

This 48-year-old woman developed neck and left arm pain. She made two visits to a chiropractor who performed neck manipulation on each visit. After the second manipulation, she complained of severe arm pain and left C-6 distribution numbness. Physical examination after manipulation showed left biceps strength of 4/5, brachioradialis, and 4+/5 in the left triceps. She had hyperreflexia with positive Hoffmann signs. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed a large, slightly left-sided C5–6 disc herniation that compressed the cervical cord and nerve roots. She underwent C5–6 ACDF.

<p>| TABLE 1 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported complications of CSMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vascular injury and stroke syndromes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vascular injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>locked-in syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verteobobasilar distribution infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thalamic infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissecting aneurysm of the VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissecting hematoma of the internal carotid artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual field loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structural lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intradural herniated cervical disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lumbar disc rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rupture of intervertebral disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>odontoid fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atlantoaxial injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neural injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerebellar &amp; brainstem trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diaphragmatic paralysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cauda equina syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadriplegia (due to CSMT in cases of spinal cord astrocytoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myelopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiculopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tracheal rupture (posttracheotomy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cervical epidural hematoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case 6

This 59-year-old man had a history of chronic neck pain, which developed after undergoing C6–7 allograft-assisted ACDF for right-sided C-7 radiculopathy, which was successfully resolved. He sought chiropractic care for his chronic neck pain and underwent a series of neck manipulations. After a series of these sessions, he began to lose function of his hands; the treatments continued, and he began to lose his ability to ambulate. He was then referred to an "arthritis doctor" for treatment of his neck, back, and lower-extremity pain. He eventually sought the care of a neurologist who ordered MR imaging of the cervical spine. Physical examination showed bilateral 4+/5 weakness in the wrist extensors and flexors and intrinsic muscles of the hands at 3/5. Gait was broad based and spastic. Proprioception was diminished in the lower extremities. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed a large C5–6 disc herniation causing marked spinal cord compression and abnormal signal in the cord. The patient underwent a C-6 corpectomy and allograft strut– and plate-assisted fusion. At his 6-month follow-up visit he had no neck pain, his gait had markedly improved, and his hand function had improved but he still had intrinsic muscle weakness and difficulty with fine motor control of his hands.

Case 7

This 38-year-old man suffered right shoulder and neck pain. He underwent one CSMT session and shortly thereafter developed right arm pain, hand numbness, and arm weakness. Physical examination showed weakness in the right biceps, and brachioradialis at 4/5, right triceps at 3/5, with crossed adductor reflexes. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed C6–7 herniated nucleus pulposis compressing the cord, as well as C5–6 spondylosis. The patient underwent a C-6 corpectomy, placement of an iliac crest strut graft, and plate fixation. Intraoperative findings included a large free disc fragment at C6–7. Postoperatively the patient did extremely well with complete resolution of symptoms.

Case 8

This 44-year-old man developed neck and shoulder pain with numbness in the fingers of his left hand. He underwent two chiropractic CSMT sessions, which greatly worsened his symptoms and caused new onset of left arm pain. Physical examination demonstrated left thumb numbness and weakness (+4/5) of his biceps and triceps. Computerized tomography myelography revealed severe degenerative spondylosis at C5–6 to the left of the midline, as well as C6–7 deformity of the nerve root sleeve on the left. The patient underwent C5–7 iliac crest–assisted ACDF. Intraoperative findings included osteophytic compression of the nerve roots and thecal sac but no disc herniation. Postoperatively the patient had complete relief of symptoms.

Case 9

This 68-year-old woman had been undergoing follow up for neck and intermittent arm pain. She underwent a series of chiropractic neck manipulations and after one treatment experienced greatly worsened pain with “electric shocks” radiating into her chest and arms. Cervical CT myelography demonstrated disease at C4–5 in the left facet joint, C5–6 with posterior osteophyte impinging on the theca and nerve roots, and at C6–7 with posterior osteophyte formation compressing the spinal cord. The patient underwent C4–7 iliac crest– and plate-assisted ACDF. Intraoperative findings included osteophytic disease compressing the spinal cord and nerve roots at each level. Postoperatively the patient improved and has experienced no recurrent arm pain.

Case 10

This 45-year-old woman was injured in a motor vehicle accident. She had initial complaints of neck pain and headache. She underwent chiropractic neck manipulation and developed new symptoms of shocklike pain radiating into her arms. Physical examination showed generally normal status; MR imaging of the cervical spine revealed spondylosis and foraminal narrowing with osteophytic compression of the thecal sac. Conventional conservative therapy failed, and the patient underwent a C5–6 iliac crest–assisted ACDF. Intraoperative findings were osteophytic spurs compressing the thecal sac and nerve roots. At 6 months postoperatively she had no arm or neck pain but continued to complain of headache.

Case 11

This 43-year-old woman was injured on the job 3 years prior to presentation. During the course of conservative therapy, she sought treatment from a chiropractor. Prior to
this, she complained of neck and shoulder pain. After CSMT, her neck and shoulder pain greatly increased, and she developed left arm and left leg pain, with loss of upper-extremity strength. Physical examination revealed left wrist extensor weakness of 4/5 and global hyperreflexia. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed a large disc herniation eccentric to the left side at C5–6 with spinal cord compression. She underwent iliac-assisted ACDF. Intraoperative findings were osteophytic spurs and disc herniation with free fragment. At her 6-month follow-up visit she had no residual pain and her strength had returned to normal.  

Case 12  
This 53-year-old man had a 2-month history of severe right shoulder and arm pain, as well as right arm numbness and weakness. For treatment, he had gone to a chiropractor who performed manipulation. On the third visit, he heard a popping in his neck and felt a dramatic increase in pain. On physical examination the triceps strength on the right was 4/5. Sensory examination revealed decreased pin-prick sensation in the right C-7 distribution. On MR images, we observed mild cervical spondylosis at C3–4 and C5–6, a left-sided C5–6 disc bulge, and a large C6–7 disc herniation with complete amputation to the right-sided nerve root; mild lateral cord compression was also noted. The patient underwent C6–7 iliac crest–assisted ACDF. Intraoperatively large free disc fragments were found. Three months postoperatively the patient was doing well; normal strength had returned and no neck or arm pain existed.

Case 13  
This 57-year-old man initially suffered neck and shoulder pain. He underwent five chiropractic CSMT sessions. He stated that after the manipulation he experienced significant increase in pain and numbness in his hands. Finally after a few treatments in which this phenomenon continued, his chiropractor ordered radiographic evaluation and recommended that the patient see a neurosurgeon. Physical examination was significant for left biceps and triceps weakness (+4/5), wrist flexors, and extensors weakness (+4/5), with weakness in the intrinsic muscles of both hands at (3/5), and his gait was mildly spastic. Postmyelography CT scanning revealed a complete myelographic block at C5–6 and a severe hypertrophic spur formation compressing the C5–6 and C6–7 segments. The patient underwent a C-6 corpectomy, placement of an iliac crest bone graft, and plate-assisted fixation. Large free disc fragments with osteophytic compression were found intraoperatively. At 4 months postoperatively, the patient improved but experienced residual burning in both hands, and despite improvement in hand strength he continued to suffer significant hand weakness.

Case 14  
This 39-year-old woman had a long history of headache and was receiving CSMT from an osteopathic physician. Within an hour of cervical manipulation, she began having pain that radiated in her neck down into her shoulder as well as right arm numbness. This was followed by pain radiating down the posterior part of the right leg. Numbness persisted in her fingers, and her arm became weak. An MR imaging study revealed a large right-side C6–7 disc herniation, which was confirmed on cervical CT myelography. She continued to experience pain, but her strength improved slightly, and she refused operative therapy. Six months postinjury she continued to suffer some neck pain, but no arm pain, and she continues with conservative treatment.

Case 15  
This 61-year-old diabetic man fell while fishing and suffered neck and back pain. He sought chiropractic treatment and underwent LSMT in one session. Thereafter, he noted greatly increased pain, and bilateral hand numbness developed the day after his neck manipulation. Gait difficulty occurred within days of CSMT, and the patient had to ambulate using a cane. Physical examination showed a broad spastic gait and upper-extremity weakness; left triceps, wrist flexors, and wrist extensors were grade 4/5 whereas right triceps, wrist extensors and wrist flexors were grade 4+/5. No Hoffmann or Babinski signs were present. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed a large herniated C4–5 disc compressing the spinal cord. The patient underwent a C4–5 disectomy and allograft-assisted fusion. Intraoperative findings included a large free fragment of disc material compressing the spinal cord. Postoperatively his strength normalized, his gait improved, but myelopathy remained. This patient had undergone CSMT outside the geographical region of Tulsa.

Case 16  
This 31-year-old woman injured herself at work. She suffered low-back pain and neck pain. She saw a chiropractor and received 21 low-back and neck treatments. Her low-back pain improved, but with each treatment her neck pain worsened and she developed bilateral hand pain and numbness, worse on the right than the left side. Additionally, due to the cervical manipulations, she developed progressive right arm weakness with loss of hand coordination bilaterally. Physical examination showed deltoids strength of 4+5 bilaterally, biceps of 4/5 bilaterally, triceps of 5/5, and intrinsics of 4+/5 with diminished sensation bilaterally. A Hoffmann sign was present but otherwise her deep tendon reflexes were normal. The MR imaging study revealed a large herniated C5–6 disc, spinal cord compression, and extruded disc fragments. She underwent a C5–6 iliac crest–assisted ACDF. Intraoperative findings included a large free fragment of disc compressing the spinal cord. Postoperatively, she was initially better; her strength returned and she experienced less pain. She began, however, to complain of neck pain, recurrent left arm pain, and a repeated CT myelography scan revealed a pseudarthrosis at the operative site and slight compression of the left C-6 nerve root. She underwent repeated fusion via an anterior approach with placement of an iliac crest graft and plate fixation. After the second surgery she has continued to complain of neck pain and numbness.

Case 17  
This 49-year-old man fell at work and developed hand tingling and neck pain. He underwent CSMT, which re-
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resulted in worsening symptoms of right arm pain and weakness. He had a history of C4–5 fusion. On examination he had diminished grip strength, +3 reflexes, and a positive Hoffmann sign bilaterally. Radiography revealed C3–4 osteophytes, C4–5 fusion, and a large disc herniation compressing the cord at C5–6. A different physician group performed surgery, and this patient’s outcome is unknown. His CSMT had been performed outside of the geographical region of Tulsa.

Case 18

This 43-year-old woman was injured in a motor vehicle accident many years ago and suffered a neck injury of an unspecified type, which responded to chiropractic therapy. Recently her neck pain increased and she underwent CSMT by her chiropractor. This caused increased neck pain and new left arm pain with weakness and numbness. Physical examination showed left triceps weakness and left wrist extensor muscle weakness. There were no signs of myelopathy. Radiographically she harbored a central disc herniation at C5–6 and a large C6–7 disc herniation eccentric to the left. She underwent a two-level iliac crest–assisted ACDF. She exhibited complete return of strength and good resolution of her neck pain.

Case 19

This 52-year-old man had been making numerous visits to his osteopathic physician who performed neck manipulations for chronic neck pain. On his last visit he suffered new onset of left arm and shoulder pain with left arm numbness. Physical examination revealed biceps weakness, absent biceps reflex, and numbness in the C-6 dermatome. Radiographically, a lateral disc rupture was documented at C5–6. He underwent iliac crest–assisted ACDF. His strength improved but he continued to experience neck and shoulder pain, pseudarthrosis was found, repeated instrumentation-assisted fusion was performed, and his symptoms resolved.

Case 20

This 51-year-old man injured his neck diving into a pool. He developed chronic neck pain and underwent chiropractic neck manipulation. Severe neck, right arm, and shoulder pain developed after one session of manipulation. Physical examination demonstrated right biceps and triceps weakness as well as brachioradialis. Reflexes were grade +3, and a Hoffmann sign was present bilaterally. Radiography revealed a large bone spur causing cord compression and C5–6 and C6–7 deformation. He underwent C-6 corpectomy, with good result and only slight residual shoulder pain.

Case 21

This 55-year-old man developed neck pain. He sought chiropractic treatment and underwent 10 CSMTs. He developed gait problems, could not control his right arm or leg, and suffered increased neck pain. During the manipulation maneuvers he noted electrical shooting sensations into his arms and legs. Physical examination demonstrated partial Brown–Séquard syndrome, right-sided weakness, and hyperreflexia. Myelography revealed multilevel cervical spondylosis, with an extremely large disc herniation compressing the cord at C4–5. He underwent C4–5 iliac crest–assisted ACDF, and exhibited return of his gait function, coordination, and strength to almost normal levels.

Case 22

This 58-year-old man suffered right shoulder pain and neck pain. On physical examination, mild weakness in the right biceps was noted. After seeking neurosurgical consultation, he sought chiropractic care involving a series of cervical manipulation. After his fifth CSMT, he developed dizziness and brief transient periods of unconsciousness associated with turning his head to the right and extending his neck. He underwent MR angiography, and both VAs were normal. He continued to experience dizziness and transient blackouts associated with turning his neck to the right. He underwent angiography while turning his neck. During the procedure, his right VA became occluded as he turned his neck to the right, and his symptoms recurred (Fig. 2). He underwent VA decompression via an anterior Verbiest approach and an extreme–far lateral disc rupture was found exiting the uncovertebral joint at C3–4, where it impinged on the VA. Postoperatively he experienced complete resolution of his transient ischemic attack symptoms following the far-lateral discectomy.

RESULTS

The search criteria were fulfilled in 1712 cases seen during the time of the study. Of these, 187 patients saw manual practitioners and 172 received one or more treatments of cervical spinal manipulation. Of the 172 patients
Census data. The population of this geographical area was
area surrounding Tulsa, Oklahoma, were taken from US
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Estimation of Incidence

caused clinical deterioration.
sessions were performed before the final CSMT that
and in two patients it could not be determined how many
manipulation, in 13 after more than two manipulations,
tients after the first manipulation, in four after the second
manipulation imaging studies that might have indicated
other contraindications could not be evaluated
relative contraindication to CSMT in at least eight of 22
patients underwent manipulation of a postsurgical joint,
which is a relative contraindication. There was absolute or
recognized and manipulation apparently continued. Two
patients with partial Brown–Sé-
quard syndrome, and one patient experienced new onset
of vertebrobasilar transient ischemic attacks. The latter
patient was found to have a lateral disc herniation com-
pressing the VA. There were no other cases of vascular
lesions in the series.

In 20 of 22 patients who underwent surgery, significant
improvement was documented in 18; one who did not un-
dergo surgery continues to have symptoms; and one pa-
tient was referred for a second-opinion examination only
and his outcome is unknown. There were no CSMT-relat-
ed deaths.

Cases involving the diagnosis of the International Clas-
sification Diseases–9 code 722.71 for herniated cervical
disc with myelopathy were evaluated between January 1,
1993, and June 30, 1996. During this period, there were 27
patients with cervical disc herniation causing myelopa-
thy and seven in whom symptoms worsened after CSMT.
Therefore, in 26% of all patients surgically treated for cer-
vical disc herniation–induced myelopathy CSMT was the
apparent causative factor.

In five patients there was absolute contraindication
to manipulation because they suffered neurological de-
terioration during the course of CSMT, which went un-
recognized and manipulation apparently continued. Two
patients underwent manipulation of a postsurgical joint,
which is a relative contraindication. One patient under-
went manipulation despite the presence of radiculopathy,
which is a relative contraindication. There was absolute or
relative contraindication to CSMT in at least eight of 22
patients. Other contraindications could not be evaluated
because it is unknown how many patients underwent pre-
manipulation imaging studies that might have indicated
some contraindication to manipulation.

The number of cervical manipulations before clinical
deterioration varied. Deterioration occurred in three pa-
tients after the first manipulation, in four after the second
manipulation, in 13 after more than two manipulations,
and in two patients it could not be determined how many
sessions were performed before the final CSMT that
caused clinical deterioration.

Estimation of Incidence

Population estimates for 1995 from the geographical
area surrounding Tulsa, Oklahoma, were taken from US
Census data. The population of this geographical area was
1,131,638 in 1995. The estimate of population usage of
chiropractic care was found to be 7.5% during a 3 to
5-year period.45 The range of other estimates of chiroprac-
tic care from 45,000 chiropractors in the US was 12 to 125
million visits per year.13,35,46 Of these visits, 38% involved
a manipulation on the first visit and 66% involved manip-
ulation of the spine on subsequent visits. Of these visits,
10.3% were for facial or neck pain, swelling, or injury and
9.6% were for complaints of headache.45 Based on these
data, with our local 20 patients who experienced CSMT-
related complication during the study period, and assum-
ining that 17,000 patients had undergone cervical manipu-
lation during the study period, then the complication rate
was approximately one irreversible complication per
every 850 patients undergoing a series of manipulations in
the local region of the study. If the mean number of mani-
ipulations per patient is assumed to be 10, then the risk
of complication in this study would be one in every 8500
cervical manipulations. Using other published data to esti-
mate the number of cervical manipulations in this region-
al population, there could be up to 180,000 procedures an-
ually, yielding a complication rate of one irreversible per
45,600 cervical manipulations.10 This does not take into
account that our group represents only one third of the
neurosurgeons in the geographical region around Tulsa.

The incidence of complications after CSMT has been
estimated in the literature. The most commonly reported
complication is vertigo occurring at a rate of 1218 per 1.53
million manipulations, with diminished consciousness oc-
curring in 10 per 1.53 million procedures, loss of con-
sciousness in 12 per 1.53 million procedures, and radicu-
lar deficits in 11 per 1.53 million manipulations.13 The
minimum complication rate for CSMT has been reported
at one per 41,500 procedures and the severe complication
rate has been reported at a rate of one per 383,750.13 The
authors of other studies have noted higher complication
rates after CSMT. In one prospective study of 1058 pa-
ients, 55% reported at least one reaction to manipulation:
35% responses were mild, 50% moderate, 14% unpleas-
ant, and 1% termed unbearable.43 The incidence may be
higher than expected because, with the population in the
region at approximately 1.1 million, only one major com-
plification per year would be expected if every person in the
region underwent one cervical manipulation per year.

DISCUSSION

Cervical spinal manipulation is frequently undertaken
in patients with neck pain or headache. The authors of a
variety of studies have attested to either the efficacy or
lack of efficiency of manipulation therapy.1,6,8,19,22,25,31,34,48
Other authors have noted bias in reporting complications
of manipulation.39 Conservative methods of treating cervi-
cal disc disease without manipulation or surgical interven-
tion have been associated with success rates of approxi-
mately 80%.39,40 One author wrote that “manipulation of
the cervical spine is not to be considered conservative
therapy, but rather a most aggressive noninvasive proce-
dure by which the normal mechanical attributes of the
motion segments can be restored if aberrant.”40 In a recent
publication the authors did not recommend cervical mani-
putation because the risk/benefit ratio did not appear to
support the practice.35
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**Contraindications to Cervical Spine Manipulation**

High-velocity neck manipulation is absolutely contraindicated in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, acute fractures and dislocations, os odontoideum, infection of bone, osseous malignancies, or cervical myelopathy. Cervical spine manipulation is relatively to absolutely contraindicated in patients with benign bone tumors, vertebrobasilar insufficiency, and aneurysm of a major blood vessel. Neck manipulation is relatively contraindicated in cases of joint hypermobility, postsurgical joints, and osteoporosis. Manipulation of the cervical spine in the acute phases of cervical disc herniation in cases associated with deficit is contraindicated until the deficit has resolved. Dvorak, et al., have written the following: “In the acute phase of cervical disc herniation with neurologic deficit, manipulation and mobilization of the affected segments are contraindicated as there is a high risk of spinal cord compression due to massive prolapse.” In cases of traumatic cervical dislocation, manipulation and reduction of the dislocation has in some cases led to neurological deterioration due to disc displacement into the spinal canal, resulting in cord compression.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Manipulation of the cervical spine appears to be associated with the possibility of worsening cervical radiculopathy or myelopathy and causing cervical disc herniation; cervical disc herniation can occur in a lateral position, compressing the VA and leading to posterior circulation ischemia. All of these complications have been reported previously except herniated disc–induced compression of the VA. The incidence of significant complications appears to be higher in the population studied than has been previously reported. These complications could be lessened by rigorous adherence to published exclusion criteria for manipulation.
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